

## 1

capacity for 100% butane vapor ("butane activity," g/100 g-carbon), and purgeability ("butane ratio"), specifically, the proportion of adsorbed butane from the saturation step which can be recovered from the carbon by an air purge step. The multiplicative product of these three properties yields a "butane working capacity."

The multiplicative product of the carbon's effective butane "working capacity," measured as the carbon's effective butane "working capacity," (BWC), g/dL, measured by ASTM D5228-92, which has been established in the art as a good predictor of the canister working capacity for gasoline vapors. Carbons that excel for this application have high BWC, typically 9 to 15+g/dL.

BWC, as a result of high saturation capacity and volumetric-basis for butane (the product of density and butane activity), and high butane ratios ( $>0.85$ ). In terms of isothermal equilibrium adsorption capacities across all vapor concentrations, these carbons characteristically have

high incremental capacity. The isotherm curved upward on a semi-log concentration (i.e., isotherm curve reflects the high work of adsorption). This isotherm performance feature of these carbons, in that gasolene vapors are adsorbed in high quantity at high concentrations but readily released in high concentration to an air purge stream. In addition, these carbons tend to be granular (somewhat irregularly shaped) or cylindrical pellet, a particle of a size just about 1–3 mm in diameter. It has been typically found that smaller sizes hinder the diffusional transport but that somewhat larger sizes hinder the diffusion during dynamic desorption of vapors into and out of the carbon particle during dynamic adsorb and purge cycles. On the other hand, somewhat smaller size particles have unacceptably high flow restriction for displaced air and hydrocarbon vapors during regeneration.

### Double-Entry Loss (DBL) Requirements

(b) Diurnal Breathing Loss (DBL) reductions. Recently, regulations have been promulgated that require a change in the approach with respect to the way in which vapors must be controlled. Allowable emission levels from canisters would be reduced to such low levels that the canisters would be reduced to fuel tank, is no longer primary source of emitted vapor, the subsequent evaporative the primary concern, as current conventional evaporative emission control appears to have achieved a high efficiency of removal. Rather, the concern now is actually the hydrocarbon left on the carbon adsorbent itself as a residual "heel" after the regeneration (purge) step. Such emissions typically occur when a vehicle has been parked and subjected to diurnal temperature changes over a period of several days, commonly called "diurnal breathing losses." Now, the California Low Emission Vehicle Regulation makes it desirable for these diurnal breathing loss (DBL) emissions from the canister system to be below 10 mg ("PZEV") for a number of vehicles beginning with the 2003 model year and below 50 mg, typically below 20 mg, with the 2004 model year. number of vehicles beginning with the 2004 model year. ("PZEV" and "LEV-I" are criteria of the California Low Emission Vehicle Regulation.)

(Enission Vehicle Regulation.)

to While standard carbons used in the commercial canisters excel in terms of working capacity, these carbons are unable to meet DBL emission targets under normal canister operation. Furthermore, none of the standard measures of working capacity properties correlate with DBL emission performance. Nonetheless, one option for meeting emission targets is to significantly increase the volume of purge gas during regeneration in order to reduce the amount of residual hydrocarbon heel in the carbon bed and thereby reduce subsequent emissions. This strategy, however, has the drawback of complicating management of the fuel/air mixture to the engine during purge regeneration and tends to adversely affect tailpipe emissions, i.e., moving or redefining the problem rather than solving it. (See U.S. Pat. No. 4,894, 072.)

65 affect tailpipe emissions, the  
packing problem rather than solving it. (See U.S. Pat. No. 4,694,  
072.)

Typical carbons for evaporative emission canisters are characterized by standard measurements of bed packing density ("apparent density," g/mL), equilibrium saturation

## 3

15

25

## 30

40

1

2

\$

A region within a canister containing particulate or in an adsorbent-containing monolith with the preferred adsorption isotherm properties for achieving low bleed emission levels will, however, have a relatively low adsorption working capacity compared to the activated carbons commonly used in automotive evaporative emission control. For example, the BWC of a low capacity adsorbent will be about 6 g/dL compared to the 9 g/dL to 15+g/dL range as used in typical automotive carbons. Therefore, in order to maintain the

5 required hydrocarbon capacity for normal emission control system operation, the low-bleed adsorbent will be used in a vent-side auxiliary region within the canister or outside the vent-side auxiliary region with an fuel source-side region containing a volume of the high capacity carbon normally employed. When two different adsorbents are used, for example, system design will involve providing sufficient volume of the high capacity carbon in the main part, or fuel source-side, of an emission control canister to achieve the desired working capacity, and a sufficient volume of the low-bleed adsorbent to contain vapor emitted from the main bed to such an extent that such vapor does not materially affect the bleed emissions from the low-bleed adsorbent.

In the context of the invention, "monolith" is intended to include foams, woven and non-woven fibers, mats, blocks and bound aggregates of particulates.

It is notable that the emission of vapor from the main, high-capacity fuel source-side volume of adsorbent into the auxiliary lower capacity vent-side volume is significantly affected by the presence of that vent-side volume. During a purge, a vent-side adsorbent volume having a flat adsorption isotherm will give up a relatively small hydrocarbon load into the purge gas. Therefore, the concentration of vapor carried by the purge gas will be low as it emerges from the low-bleed vent-side volume and enters the high-capacity, low-bleed vent-side volume. This allows good regeneration of the high-capacity adsorbent in the vicinity of the junction of the two adsorbent volumes, and helps protect the vent-side volume from emissions from the fuel source-side region of volume during diurnal breathing flow. Specifically, the canister during diurnal breathing flow, the fuel source-side volume regeneration efficiency by retarding the rate of bulk vapor reduces diurnal emissions by retarding the rate of vapor phase diffusion across the flow length of the canister system. Since bulk phase diffusion is a major mode of vapor transport during diurnal breathing conditions, by reducing the vapor concentration difference across the flow length of the canister system by enhanced regeneration, the redistribution of vapors within the canister system and subsequent emissions into the vent-side volume and out of the vent port are reduced.

Examples of adsorbents with isotherms having the preferred shape to provide low bleed performance are compared with standard canister-fill carbons (Westvaco Corporation's BAX 1100 and BAX 1500) in FIG. 3. It is important to note that, as shown in this figure, the isotherm properties must be that, as shown in terms of volumetric capacity. On this basis, the defined in terms of volumetric capacity will have an increased low-bleed adsorbent portion will have an increased low-bleed adsorbent capacity of less than about 35 g/liter between 5 and 50 volume percent n-butane vapor concentration.

While in some instances, known adsorbents may have the preferred properties for the vent-side, these adsorbents would not be expected to be useful in an evaporative canister. In some cases, these materials have low purgeability (butane ratio less than 0.85) and low working capacity (BWC less than 9 g/dL) as measured by the standard BWC test for qualifying canister carbons. Common wisdom and experience in the art associate low butane ratio with high residual hydrocarbon heel, which is the potential source for high emissions. Furthermore, low BWC adsorbents were not considered useful for inclusion into a canister system as working capacity for gasoline vapors would be assumed impaired, with no expectation that there would be a utility for reducing emissions. In fact, one preferred embodiment of this invention, lower capacity adsorbents have BWC values preferably below 8 g/dL, which is well below the 9-15 g/dL BWC level normally deemed suitable for use in evaporative emission control canister systems. The preferred selection of

these low BWC materials for inclusion into a canister system as a vent-side layer to produce low emissions was only realized once the dynamics within the adsorbent bed were realized (i.e., the significance of low residual vapor concentration within the vent-side bed volume and the interactive effect that the vent-side bed volume has on the distribution and diffusion of vapor across the entire canister system during the diurnal breathing loss period).

Therefore, it has been found that the preferred vent-side adsorbent properties, in addition to a relatively low BWC, includes butane ratios between 0.40 and 0.98, which in total are substantially different properties compared with adsorbents previously conceived as useful for these canister systems.

The proposed alternative approaches described above are shown to be effective in canister bleed emission control in the following examples. One approach for preparing the vent-side adsorbent is to volumetrically dilute a high working capacity adsorbent so that its resulting isotherm is flattened on a volumetric basis. A second approach is to begin with an adsorbent that has the desired adsorption capacity and flat isotherm shape and process it into a shape or form, such as a pellet or honeycomb.

A particular preferred embodiment for a canister with multiple adsorbents is shown in FIG. 2. FIG. 2 shows a canister system comprising a primary canister body 1, a support screen 2, a dividing wall 3, a vent port 4 to the atmosphere, a vapor source connection 5, a vacuum purge connection 6, a fuel source side region 7, vent-side canister connection 8, a fuel source side region 9, supplemental canister regions 10-11 of varying low-capacities, supplemental canister regions 12, and connecting hose 13 permitting fluid flow from the primary canister body 1 to the supplemental canister body 12. Additional embodiments, as described above, are also envisioned to be within the scope of the subject of the invention.

The desired results for the subject matter of the invention can be attained with a single vent-side uniform lower capacity adsorbent material as the subsequent adsorbent material. The option of multiples of lower capacity adsorbents with the desirable adsorptive properties across broad vapor concentrations is demonstrated merely as one embodiment.

The measures for gasoline working capacity (GWC) and emissions in the Table were derived from the Westvaco DBL test that uses a 2.1 L canister. The pellet examples were tested as a 300 mL vent-side layer within the canister, with the 1800 mL of BAX 1500 pellets as the remaining canister fill. The honeycomb was tested as the 2.1 L main canister of BAX that was placed in-line with the 2.1 L main canister of BAX 1500 pellets. For all examples, the canister system was uniformly first preconditioned by repetitive cycling of gas-vapor adsorption and air purge (400 bed volumes air). This cycling generated the GWC value. Butane emissions were subsequently measured after a diurnal breathing loss and an air purge step, specifically during a diurnal breathing loss period when the canister system was attached to a temperature-cycled fuel tank. The reported value is the 2<sup>nd</sup> day DBL emissions during an 11-hour period when the fuel tank was warmed and vapor-laden air was vented to the canister system and exhausted from the vent-side adsorbent where the emissions were measured. The procedure employed for measuring DBL-01-0733, titled "Impact and Control of Canister Bleed Emissions," by R. S. Williams and C. R. Clontz.

Example 1: Microsphere Filler Pellets. These 2 mm pellets are an example of the volumetric dilution method by

Example 2: Ceramic-Bound Honeycomb. The 200 cps (cells per square inch) carbon-containing honeycomb is (cells per square inch) carbon-containing honeycomb is 2 another example in the Table was prepared according to the honeycomb in the Table was prepared according to the honeycomb described in U.S. Pat. No. 5,914,294, which dis- closes forming an adsorptive monolith comprising the steps (a) extruding an extrudable mixture through an extrusion die such that a monolith is formed having a shape wherein the monolith has at least one passage therethrough and the extrudable mixture comprises activated carbon, a ceramic forming material, a flux material, and water, (b) drying the extruded monolith, and (c) firing the dried monolith at a temperature and for a time period sufficient to react the ceramic forming material together and form a ceramic

In this example, the extrusion formulation ingredients partially dilute the carbon adsorbent, and in addition, the adsorbent is further diluted by the open cell structure of the extruded part. These cells create more bed voidages within the part, compared with a similar bed volume of pellets (65 vol % voidages for the honeycomb versus 35 vol % for pellets or granules). The cell structure and high bed voidages have the added advantage of imposing minimal additional flow restriction compared with a bed of pellets, thereby allowing the honeycomb device to be installed to the main carrier as an add-on auxiliary device of greatly reduced cross-sectional area (see supplemental canister body 12 in FIG. 2).

As noted above, the comparisons of these activated carbon containing materials, prepared as set forth in the examples, is shown in the following Table.

	Filled Pellet	Ceramic-Bound Honeycomb	Special Precursor Pellet	Prior Art: High Working Capacity Carbons
Fuel source-side BAX 1500 Volume:	1800 mL	2100 mL	1800 mL	1800 mL
Vent-Side Adsorbent Type:	"Ex. 1" Layer	"Ex. 2" Auxiliary Bed	"Ex. 3" Layer	BAX 1100 Layer
Vent-Side Mode:	300 mL	200 mL	300 mL	300 mL
Vent-Side Adsorbent Volume:		41 mm diameter x 150 mm long, 200 cpi		
Canister System Performance:				
Westvaco DBL Test				
Gasoline Working Capacity, g:	138	144	132	143
2 <sup>nd</sup> Day DBL Emissions, mg-C <sub>6</sub> :	29	10	13	88
Note:	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Vent-Side Properties (6)				
Incremental Adsorption At 25° C.				
5-50 vol % butane vapor, g/L:	24	16	18	52
Apparent Density, g/mL:	0.869	0.355	0.453	0.358
Butane Activity, g/100 g:	7.0	13.1	18.5	39.0
BWC, g/L:	5.7	4.0	5.0	11.9
Butane Ratio:	0.929	0.852	0.593	0.852

BWC, g/dL: 0.929 0.852

Burne Ratio:

(1) Two DBL Test: Averaged data for GWC (400 bed volume purge) and DBL emissions (150 bed volume purge), 2.1L canister, 1300 mL fuel source-side chamber, 600 mL vent-side chamber, fuel source-side chamber cross-sectional area 2.5 times the vent-side cross-sectional area.

(2) Single DBL Test

(3) Average of three DBL Tests

(4) Average of three DBL Tests

(5) Average of six DBL Tests

(6) Density and BWC by ASTM standard techniques.

9

The Table shows data for the three examples of these two approaches compared with vent-side layers containing high working capacity carbons, BAX 1100 and BAX 1500. Compared with the state of the art BAX carbons (the FIG. 3), all three of the examples have significantly lower capacities for butane at high concentrations and considerably flatter isotherm curves.

As shown in the Table, the examples demonstrate reductions in emissions by factors of 3-22 over canisters consisting of only high working capacity carbons. There was either no loss or only a slight loss in GWC.

A further preferred embodiment of the invention method is presented in an evaporative emissions control system for a vehicle, the system comprising, in combination, a fuel tank for storing a volatile fuel, an engine having an air induction system and adapted to consume the fuel, a canister containing an initial volume of fuel vapor adsorbent material for temporarily adsorbing and storing fuel vapor from the tank, a conduit for conducting fuel vapor from the tank to a canister vapor inlet, a fuel vapor purge conduit from a canister vapor outlet to the induction system of the engine, and a vent/air opening for venting the canister and for the admission of air to the canister during operation of the engine induction system, wherein the canister defines a fuel vapor flow path via the canister vapor inlet through the initial volume of vapor adsorbent within a first region of the canister toward the vent/air opening, and an air flow path canister toward of vapor adsorbent within a second region through a canister at the vent/air opening and the first region of the canister at the vent/air opening and the first region at the purge outlet, such that fuel vapor formed in the tank flows through the vapor inlet into the initial volume of the adsorbent where it is adsorbed and, during operation of the engine induction system, ambient air flows in a path to and through the vent/air opening and along the air flow path in the canister through the initial volume and the purge outlet to the induction system of the engine, the flow of air removing a portion of the adsorbed fuel vapor but leaving a residue of fuel in the initial volume, wherein at least one subsequent volume of vapor adsorbent material comprises a volume of 1% to 100% of the first volume and is located either inside of the canister within the initial volume of or outside of the canister, and wherein an incremental vapor adsorbent material is characterized by an incremental adsorption capacity at 25° C. of greater than 35 g n-butane/L between vapor concentrations of 5 vol % and 50 vol % n-butane before routing the fluid stream through at least one subsequent volume of vapor adsorbent material wherein the subsequent volume of vapor adsorbent material is characterized by an incremental adsorption capacity at 25° C. of less than 35 g n-butane/L between vapor concentrations of 5 vol % and 50 vol % n-butane.

This invention method certainly includes an embodiment wherein the second volume of vapor adsorbent material is located outside the canister in a separate subsequent canister, but in the flow path of the ambient air to the vent/air inlet and the first region.

This invention method includes an embodiment wherein the initial volume of vapor adsorbent material are activated subsequent volume of vapor adsorbent material are activated carbon derived from materials selected from the group consisting of wood, peat, coal, coconut, lignite, petroleum pitch, petroleum coke, coal tar pitch, fruit pits, nut shells, sawdust, wood flour, synthetic polymer, and natural polymer having been activated by a process selected from the group consisting of chemical, thermal, and combined chemical/thermal activation methods.

The invention method includes an embodiment wherein the initial volume of vapor adsorbent material and the

subsequent volume of vapor adsorbent material are inorganic materials selected from the group consisting of zeolites, porous silica, porous alumina, pillared clays, and molecular sieves.

The invention method includes an embodiment wherein the initial volume of vapor adsorbent material are porous polymers.

The invention method includes an embodiment wherein the subsequent volume of vapor adsorbent material exhibits adsorption capacities achieved by volumetric dilution.

The invention method further includes an embodiment wherein the volumetric dilution is accomplished by the addition of a non-adsorbing filter as a co-ingredient by an addition process selected from the group consisting of addition with the activated carbon raw material prior to activation, addition with the adsorbent before forming into a shaped particle or monolith, and a combination thereof.

The invention method further includes an embodiment wherein the volumetric dilution is accomplished by forming the adsorbent material into high voidage shapes selected from the group consisting of stars, hollow cylinders, asterisks, spirals, cylinders, configured ribbons, and other shapes within the capabilities of the art.

The method claimed herein includes an embodiment wherein the volumetric dilution is accomplished by forming the adsorbent into a honeycomb or monolith shape.

The method claimed herein includes an embodiment wherein the volumetric dilution is accomplished by the use of inert spacer particles, foams, fibers, and screens external to the vent-side adsorbent particles and monoliths.

The method claimed herein includes an embodiment wherein the non-adsorbing filter is a solid after processing. Also, the method claimed herein includes an embodiment wherein the non-adsorbing filter is volatilized or combusted to form voidages larger than 50 Å width within the shaped particle or monolith.

The foregoing description relates to embodiments of the present invention, and changes and modifications may be made therein without departing from the scope of the invention as defined in the following claims.

We claim:

1. A method for reducing fuel vapor emissions in automotive evaporative emissions control systems comprising the steps of contacting the fuel vapor with an initial adsorbent volume having incremental adsorption capacity at 25° C. of greater than 35 g n-butane/L between vapor concentrations of 5 vol % and 50 vol % n-butane and at least one subsequent adsorbent volume having an incremental adsorption capacity of less than 35 g n-butane/L between vapor concentrations of 5 vol % and 50 vol % n-butane.

2. The method of claim 1 comprising a single subsequent adsorbent volume.

3. The method of claim 1 comprising multiple subsequent adsorbent volumes.

4. The method of claim 2 wherein the initial adsorbent volume and the subsequent adsorbent volume are located within a single automotive evaporative emission control canister.

5. The method of claim 3 wherein the initial adsorbent volume and the subsequent adsorbent volumes are located within a single automotive evaporative emission control canister.

6. The method of claim 2 wherein the initial adsorbent volume and the subsequent adsorbent volume are located in separate canisters that are connected to permit sequential contact by the fuel vapor.